Missouri Proficiency Award AgriScience Research Award Scoring Guide (Rubric)			
Member/Chapter Name:		Score from back side:	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

Area	Section	Points Possible	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points	Points Earned
SAE Explanation and relation to award area	Performance Review, Question 1	3	Response demonstrates a <u>clear understanding</u> of their SAE program. (3)	Response demonstrates a <u>limited understanding</u> of their SAE program. (2)	Response demonstrates <u>little or no understanding</u> of their SAE program. (1)	
Roles, Responsibilities and/or management decisions made Performance Review, Question	Dorformanco	3	Response demonstrates a <u>clear description</u> of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program. (1.5)	Response demonstrates a <u>limited description</u> of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program. (1)	Response demonstrates <u>little or no description</u> of roles, responsibilities, and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program. (.5)	
	Review, Question 2		Demonstrates <u>significant change or progression</u> (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented. (1.5)	Response demonstrates <u>limited change or progression</u> (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented. (1)	Response demonstrates <u>no change or progression</u> (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented. (.5)	
Challenges	Performance	3	Response demonstrates a <u>complete explanation</u> of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge. (1.5)	Response demonstrates a <u>limited explanation</u> of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge. (1)	Response demonstrates <u>little or no explanation</u> of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge. (.5)	
	Review, Question 3		Response demonstrated candidate's <u>complete involvement</u> in addressing the challenge. (1.5)	Response demonstrated candidate's <u>limited involvement</u> in addressing the challenge. (1)	Response demonstrated <u>no involvement i</u> n addressing the challenge. (.5)	
Three Goals	Performance	erformance	Response <u>clearly identifies</u> three goals have been set for their SAE. (1.5)	Response <u>vaguely identifies</u> three goals have been set for their SAE. (1)	Response <u>does not identify</u> three goals having been set for their SAE. (.5)	
	Review, Question 4	Review, Question 4	Response <u>illustrates significant progress</u> toward achieving the goals for their SAE program (1.5)	Response <u>illustrates limited progress</u> toward achieving the goals for their SAE program. (1)	Response illustrates <u>no progress toward the goals for</u> their SAE program. (.5)	
Future Plans	Performance Review, Question 5	3	Responses <u>clearly describe</u> the future of their SAE, Education and Career plans. (3)	Responses <u>vaguely describe</u> the future of their SAE, education, and career plans. (2)	Responses <u>do not describe</u> the future of their SAE, education, and career plans. (1)	
Research Projects: Information	Research Projects	3	Candidate has <u>clearly described</u> hours and title for each of the research projects reported of the time period represented (3)	Candidate has <u>vaguely described</u> hours and title for each of the research projects reported of the time period represented (2)	Candidate has minimally or did not describe hours and title for each of the research projects reported of the time period represented (1)	
Research Projects: Financial Summary	Research Finances	5	Expenses records or justification for no expenses are reasonable for the agriculturally related research projects. (5-4)	Expenses records or justification for no expenses are somewhat reasonable for the agriculturally related research projects. (3-2)	Expense records are <u>not reasonable or no expense</u> justification was provided for the agriculturally related research projects. (1-0)	

Missouri Proficiency Award AgriScience Research Award Scoring Guide (Rubric)						
Area	Section	Points Possible	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points	Points Earned
Research Projects: Abstract	One per project reported	14	Candidate has provided a <u>well written</u> abstract and the abstract <u>clearly describes</u> the purpose of each of the research projects reported over the time period represented. (14-10)	Candidate has provided a <u>vague abstrac</u> t and the abstract <u>partially describes</u> the purpose of each of the research projects reported over the time period represented. (9-5)	Candidate has provided an <u>inadequate or lacks</u> a abstract and the abstract (if provided) <u>vaguely describes</u> the purpose of each of the research projects reported over the time period represented. (4-0)	
Research Projects: Procedure	One per project reported	14	Candidate <u>clearly identifies</u> the procedure used to conduct each research project reported. (14-10)	Candidate <u>partially identifies</u> the procedure used to conduct each research project reported. (9-5)	Candidate <u>vaguely identifies</u> the procedure used to conduct each research project reported. (4-0)	
Research Projects: Conclusion	One per project reported	14	Candidate <u>clearly reports</u> outcomes in the conclusion for each research project reported. (14-10)	Candidate <u>partially reports</u> outcomes in the conclusion for each research project reported. (9-5)	Candidate <u>vaguely reports</u> outcomes in the conclusion for each research project reported. (4-0)	
Skill Development and Contribution to Success	Skills, Competencies, and Knowledge	10	All 5 competencies demonstrates skills that are appropriate for the size, scope and responsibilities of the program. (3)	Some (2-4) of competencies are somewhat appropriate for the size, scope and delivery of responsibilities of the SAE. (2)	<u>Very few(<2) competencies</u> are listed and <u>are not</u> <u>appropriate</u> for the size, scope and delivery of responsibilities of the SAE. (1)	
			All 5 Contributions demonstrates application of skill attainment with <u>significant measurable impac</u> t on the overall success of the SAE. (7-6)	Some (2-4) of the competencies contributions demonstrates application of skill attainment with incomplete measurable impact on the overall success of the SAE. (5-3)	Very (<2) few contributions demonstrates limited application of skill attainment with no measurable impact on the overall success of the SAE. (2-0)	
Photos	Photo Pages 16	10	Candidate submitted six high quality photos with <u>clearly</u> <u>descriptive caption</u> s that demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE. (107)	Candidate submitted six quality photos with <u>slightly</u> <u>vague captions</u> that demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE. (64)	Candidate submitted six poor quality photos with <u>non-descriptive captions</u> that demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE or submits fewer than six photos. (30)	
Leadership Activities	Leadership Activities	10	List exhibits a <u>high level</u> of participation in leadership development activities. (10-7)	List exhibits a <u>medium level</u> of participation in leadership development activities. (6-4)	List show a <u>low level</u> of participation in leadership development activities. (3-0)	
Other Activities - Related to award area and/or school & community activities	Other Activities	5	Activities indicate <u>high level</u> of participation in related, school & community activities. (5-4)	activities indicate a <u>medium level</u> of participation in related, school & community activities. (3-2)	activities indicate a <u>low level</u> of participation in related, school & community activities. (1-0)	
Total Points = 100						

Lalada C'	
Judge's Signature	